

----

Proposal Title :	Liverpool LEP 2008 (Amendment No 43) – Clause 4.6A - Subdivision/realignment of undersized lots		
Proposal Summary	clause to clause 4.6 Developm	nent Standards to allow flex to facilitate subdivision/bo	ental Plan 2008 mainly to insert a new ibility for variations of the minimum undary adjustment between two
PP Number :	PP_2014_LPOOL_002_00	Dop File No :	14/02402
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received	<b>30-Jan-2014</b>	LGA covered :	Liverpool
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Liverpool City Council
State Electorate :	CAMDEN LIVERPOOL MACQUARIE FIELDS MULGOA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy		
Location Details			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
Li ai	he Planning Proposal applies to an andscape, RU4 Primary Production nd Nature Reserves, E2 Environme ithin the Liverpool LEP 2008	n Small Lots, R5 Largre Lot	Residential, E1 National Parks
DoP Planning Off	ficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Amar Saini		
Contact Number :	0298601130		
Contact Email :	amar.saini@planning.nsw.gov.a	au	
<b>RPA Contact Det</b>	ails		
Contact Name :	Katie Miles		
Contact Number :	0298219511		
Contact Email :	K.Miles@liverpool.nsw.gov.au		
D . D D	ager Contact Details		
Dop Project Mana			
Contact Name :	ChoCho Myint		
•	ChoCho Myint 0298601167		

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	In relation to the Lobbyist Code o Lobbyist Contact Register regard		ds on the Department's
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOS		
	Political donations disclosure law requires the public disclosure of the Planning system.	is commenced on a October donations or gifts for certain	circumstances relating to
	"The disclosure requirements und relevant planning applications an		
	The term relevant planning applic	ation means:	
	- A formal request to the Minister, an environmental planning instru		neral to initiate the making of
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 spec Minister or Director General is rec any).		
	The Department has not received	any disclosure statements fo	or this Planning Proposal.
External Supporting Notes :			
Adequacy Assessmen	t		
Statement of the obj	iectives - s55(2)(a)		
is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		

Comment :

The primary objective of the planning proposal is to allow flexibility for subdivisions and

	boundary adjustment c Planning Policy (Exemp and clause 4.6(6) of the altered in certain circur objectives of the zone.	between non-compliant lots which fall outside the "minor" lause 2.75 Specified development of the State Environmental of and Complying Development Codes) 2008 (copy in Documents) Standard Instrument LEP. This will allow for lot boundaries to be instances, to give landowners a greater opportunities to achieve the The planning proposal is also to remove identification of zones not m clasues 4.6(6) and 4.2(2)(d).	
Explanation of provisi	ons provided - s55(2	?)(b)	
Is an explanation of provis	ions provided? Yes		
Comment :	statement is in Council	n explanation for the proposed amendments. The explanatory 's planning proposal in Documents. It is considered that the posed amendments are adequate.	
Justification - s55 (2)(	c)		
a) Has Council's strateαv t	been agreed to by the Dire	ector General? Yes	
	<ul> <li>a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes</li> <li>b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 1.5 Rural Lands</li> </ul>		
* May need the Director G	7.1 implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036		
Is the Director General'	s agreement required? No	0	
c) Consistent with Standar	d Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : <b>Yes</b>	
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :			
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being a	adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :	SECTION 117 DIRECT	TIONS	
		direction is to protect the agricultural production value of rural land orly and economic development of rural lands for rural and related	
	proposal be consister Environmental Planni	s that when a council proposes a change to lot sizes that the nt with the Rural Subdivision Principles listed within the State ng Policy (Rural Lands) 2008. The planning proposal is considered these principles and is consistent with the direction.	
	7.1 IMPLEMENTATION	N OF THE METROPOLITAN STRATEGY:	
		al is not inconsistent with the Metropolitan Strategy, Draft for Sydney to 2031 and the draft South West Subregional	
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? No			
Comment :	No maps apply to this	proposal.	
Community consultati	on - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consultation	on been proposed? No		
Comment :	Council has advised t	hat the Gateway Determination will specify the community	

consultation period. Given the nature of the Planning Proposal, it is recommended that it should be placed on public exhibition for a minimum of 14 days.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

#### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

#### Proposal Assessment

#### **Principal LEP:**

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

The Liverpool principal plan was made in 2008. This planning proposal seeks to amend the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008.

#### **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	BACKGROUND
	Liverpool City Council has advised that Clause 4.6 of the Liverpool Local Environmental Plan 2008 provides no flexibility to accept the subdivision of lots below the minimum area specified by the development standard. In instances where the existing lots are already below the minimum subdivision lot size (sometimes as result of residue lots from old road networks or easements) this has prevented their subdivision.
	Council has advised that there are a number of substantially small lots within the Liverpool Local Government Area which are both below the associated minimum lot size requirement as well as being too narrow or small to accommodate any type of compliant dwelling or building. Where these lots are unable to be consolidated into larger lots with their adjoining properties they remain isolated and stagnant.
	On 17 July 2013, Council wrote to the Department proposing a re-wording of the mandatory Clause 4.6. On 19 September 2013, the Department provided Council with a sample clause for boundary changes between non-compliant lots (copy in Documents) which has been adopted by other SI LEPs. Council now seeks to incorporate this clause into the written instrument to create a mechanism to facilitate the realignment of boundaries or allow the re-subdivision of these lots to a more reasonable size for permissible building or dwelling to occur.
	THE PROPOSAL
	The planning proposal is for the following changes to the Liverpool LEP, to incorporate the sample clause as follows:
	1. Insert the sample clause as Clause 4.6A into the Liverpool LEP 2008,
	2. Delete reference to Zones RU3 Forestry, Zone RU6 Transition, and Zone E4 Environment Living from Clause 4.6(6) as these zones are not present in the Liverpool Local Government Area,
	3. Delete reference to Zone RU6 Transition from Clause 4.2(2)(d) as this zone is not

undersized lots			
	present in the Liverpool Local G	iovernment Area,	
	4. Amend subclause Clause 4. "Clause 4.1(3) The size of any lo applies is not to be less than the that land, unless compliance to	t resulting from a subdivision minimum size shown on the	n of land to which this clause Lot Size Map in relation to
	Clause 4.6A; "Clause 4.6(6)(c) Despite Clause		dary adjustment between
	existing non complying lots may	y be granted through Clause (	4.0A. <sup>~</sup>
	THE DEPARTMENT'S CONSIDE		
	The Department's Planning Coo with the intent of the proposed of proposal and has advised that the inserted as an amendment to Cl 4.6.	clause. The Team was recons he proposed "boundary adjus	ulted on the planning stment clause" should be
	The PC&S Team suggested that the new additional clause should be numbered 4.2B. The Team also suggested that it is not necessary to amend subclause 4.1(3) and Clause 4.6(6) as it applies under different circumstances in comparison to the proposed new boundary adjustment clause being proposed by Liverpool Council (copy in Documents). This advice is considered to be a detailed drafting matter which can also be addressed by the Parliamentary Counsel.		
	The Department agrees with the comments from PC&S Team, it i these changes into the planning confusion.	s recommended that Council	be asked to incorporate
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	DRAFT METROPOLITAN STRAT SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY	EGY FOR SYDNEY 2031 AND	) DRAFT SOUTH WEST
	The planning proposal is not co Strategy and the draft South We		vith both the Sydney Metro
Environmental social economic impacts :	There are no likely environment be addressed at development st		ts. Any potential impacts will
Assessment Process	3		
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required? No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ? Yes		
If no, provide reasons :			

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required. :

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

#### No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan?  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{No}}$ 

If Yes, reasons :

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Letter from the DP&I.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Subdivision Clause from SEPP (Exempt & Coplying	Determination Document	Yes
Development Codes) 2008.pdf Clause 4.1 Minimum subdivision lot size from Liverpool LEP 2008.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards from Liverpool LEP 2008.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Email from Planning Coordination & Support Team.pdf	Determination Document	No
Council Resolution.pdf	Determination Document	Yes

#### Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.5 Rural Lands 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the Planning Proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	<ol> <li>Council to amend the planning proposal to incorporate the following changes before it is placed on exhibition:         <ul> <li>a. The proposed should be Clause 4.2B;</li> <li>b. Exclude the proposed subclause 4.1(3); and</li> <li>c. Exclude the proposed subclause 4.6(6)(c) from the planning proposal.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Exhibited for 14 days.</li> <li>The timeframe for completing the Local Environmental Plan is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.</li> </ol>
	DELEGATION TO COUNCIL Delegation be given to Council to exercise the Minister's plan making powers in this instance. Council intends to use this delegation to proceed with the Planning Proposal (see attached in documents).

iverpool LEP 2008 (Amendment No 43) – Clause 4.6A - Subdivision/realignment of ndersized lots			
The planning proposal is supported as it would allow a legal mechanism to approve boundary re-alignment/subdivision for isolated/undersized lots. This is an agreed clause which has been in some SI LEPs (i.e. Coffs Harbour).			
6 Tammine			
Rachellumming Date: 21 Feb 2014			